

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service as first class mail in an envelope addressed to:

Commissioner for Patents

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

On: May 24, 2004

TOWNSEND and TOWNSEND and CREW LLP

By: _____

PATENT

Docket No.: 02558B-063100US Client Ref. No.: BRP00064

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re application of:

Michael I. Watkins et al.

Application No.: 09/548,883

Filed: April 13, 2000

For: MULTI-ANALYTE DIAGNOSTIC TEST FOR THYROID DISORDERS

Examiner: G

Gabel, G.

Art Unit:

1641

RESPONSE TO OFFICE ACTION - REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

In response to the Office Action of March 25, 2004, Applicants respectfully request reconsideration and reexamination of this Application on the basis of the accompanying documents and the remarks made herein.

Claims 1-5, 7-22 and 26-28 stand rejected as obvious over combinations of PCT application WO 99/26067 and various secondary references.

The examiner has withdrawn rejections based on the co-owned US patent 6,280,618 due to the co-ownership of this invention with the patent at the time the

Michael I. Watkins et al. Application No.: 09/548,883

Page 2

invention was made. Applicants state that the stated co-ownership extended to all matters claimed in this Application.

WO 99/26067 is the corresponding published PCT application to this U.S. patent. It is a reference in its own right, however, with an effective date of May 27, 1999, which is less than one year before the filing date of the instant Application.

By accompanying papers, Applicants are correcting the inventorship of this Application by adding Richard B. Edwards as an inventor. Dr. Edwards and Dr. Michael Watkins are the two inventors of the inventions described and claimed in US patent 6,280,618 and in WO 99/26067. Dr. Watkins is also a named inventor in the instant Application. As stated in the previous response with respect to US patent 6,280,618, WO 99/26067 and any comments contained in it about the applicability of the information therein to testing for thyroid conditions represents work of inventors of this Application, and thus is not prior art under either subsection (a) or (b) of 35 U.S.C. 102.

Applicants respectfully request the withdrawal of all rejections of claims in this application, since all are based on WO 99/26067 as the primary reference.

In addition, Applicants again submit that the combinations of references proposed by the examiner do not render the present claims obvious. Applicants understand that when a combination of references is used to reject claims, discussion of the individual references taken alone may not be sufficient to overcome the rejection. However, in discussing the references, Applicants were commenting on what, if any, information of relevance was added to the primary reference by the secondary ones. Applicants submit that for the reasons previously mentioned, the secondary references lack relevance to the disclosure of WO 99/26067 and to the invention claimed herein.

In the previous rejections, the examiner chose to utilize secondary references for certain teachings, without taking sufficient cognizance of the fact that those teachings were contained in a certain context that had certain limitations.

Michael I. Watkins et al. Application No.: 09/548,883

Page 3

For example, the examiner wishes to utilize a statement in Dietzen et al. that thyroid function study requires accurate assessment of all of TSH, T₃ and T₄. The examiner wishes to further state that this same statement includes the concept that this should be done in a simultaneous multiple thyroid related analyte binding assay. However, that approach overlooks the fact that Dietzen et al do not disclose how to carry out any such study. Dietzen et al. realistically teach only how to prepare a single liquid standard or calibration solution for use in such a study. Thus, the examiner's statement about what is relied on in Dietzen et al. is not based on the actual disclosure of that reference, nor is it of much relevance to Applicants' claims, which are directed to specific processes.

Similarly, the examiner wishes to rely on Smith et al. only for providing that assays for two of TSH, T₃, T₄ and TBG using antibodies thereto play important roles as biological markers in assessing thyroid function. However, the disclosure of this reference is nowhere near of such breadth; it is limited to a specific assay employing a dual-isotope technique in what appears to be a competitive assay. Here again the examiner over-generalizes what the reference actually teaches.

When one considers the actual disclosures of these references, it is clear that, as stated previously, they do not provide information that is relevant to the processes that are claimed in this application.

CONCLUSION

In view of the foregoing, Applicants submit that the claims under examination are allowable and request issuance of a Notice of Allowance in this Application.

If the Examiner believes a telephone conference would expedite prosecution of this application, please telephone the undersigned.

Michael I. Watkins et al. Application No.: 09/548,883

Page 4

Respectfully submitted,

Joel G. Ackerman Reg. No. 24,307

TOWNSEND and TOWNSEND and CREW LLP Two Embarcadero Center, Eighth Floor San Francisco, California 94111-3834 Tel: (415) 576-0200 Fax: (415) 576-0300

JA:ja`

60222865 v1

TRANSMITTAL FORM (to be used for all correspondence after initial filing)		Application Number		09/548,883	
		Filing Date		April 13, 2000	
		First Named Inventor		Watkins, Michael I.	
		Art Unit		1641	
		Examiner Name		Gabel, G.	
Total Number of Pages in This Submission		Attorney Docket Number		02558B-063100US	
ENCLOSURES (Check all that apply)					
Fee Transmittal Form	☐ Drawing(s)			After Allowance Communication to Group	
Fee Attached	Licensing-related Papers		Papers	Appeal Communication to Board of Appeals and Interferences	
		ו		Appeal Communication to Group (Appeal Notice, Brief, Reply Brief)	
After Final	Petition to Convert to a Provisional Application			Proprietary Information	
			y, Revocation spondence Address	Status Letter	
Extension of Time Request	Terminal Disclaimer		ner	Other Enclosure(s) (please identify below): Return Postcard	
Extension of Time Request			ici	 Request For Correction Of Inventorship UNDER 37 C.F.R. 1.48(a) 	
Express Abandonment Request Requ		quest for Refund		 Consent Of Assignee To Addition Of Inventor And Certification Of Title (37 CFR 1.48(b)(4) and 37 CFR 3.73(b)) 	
				 Statement of Added Inventor COPY of Assignment as submitted to Assignment 	
☐ Information Disclosure Statement ☐ CD,		D, Number of CD(s)		Branch for recordation ADS	
Certified Copy of Priority Document(s) Rema		The Commissioner is authorized to charge any additional fees to Deposit Account 20-1430.			
Response to Missing Parts/ Incomplete Application					
Response to Missing Parts under 37 CFR 1.52 or 1.53					
SIGNATURE OF APPLICANT, ATTORNEY, OR AGENT					
Firm Townsend and Townsend and Crew LLP					
or Individual Reg. No. 24,307					
Signature					
Date May 2 , 2004					
CERTIFICATE OF TRANSMISSION/MAILING					
hereby certify that this correspondence is being facsimile transmitted to the USPTO or deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 on the date shown below.					
Typed or printed name Lois M. Simón					
Signature	Sinán			Date May 24, 2004	
60223756 v1		W			